



## Editorial

## Gesture, brain, and language

People gesture when they speak. For the past 20 years, researchers have investigated the behavioral roles that these co-speech gestures play in language processing. This special issue represents the next phase of research on this topic by presenting eight papers that explore the neural links between gesture and speech during language production and comprehension.

Since the publication in 1992 of David McNeill's book *Hand and Mind*—a work that clearly situated the study of co-speech gesture into the domain of cognition and language—there has been growing interest in gesture across several disciplines, from cognitive science to psycholinguistics and from developmental psychology to evolutionary anthropology. One of the consistent messages from this varied research is that speech and gesture are deeply connected systems of communication. Specifically, researchers have demonstrated that gestures: (1) are semantically and temporally linked to the content of the ongoing speech stream, (2) have similar communicative functions as speech, and (3) develop closely with language acquisition in children. Furthermore, in terms of comprehension, listeners/viewers seem to pick up meaning of gesture, and do so in ways that are integrated with the speech signal (see Goldin-Meadow, 2003; Kendon, 2004; McNeill, 1992, 2005).

This interest in discovering links between speech and gesture gained new momentum with Rizzolatti and Arbib's seminal discovery of "mirror neurons" (di Pellegrino, Fadiga, Fogassi, Gallese, & Rizzolatti, 1992; Gallese, Fadiga, Fogassi, & Rizzolatti, 1996; Rizzolatti & Arbib, 1998). These neurons discharge both when a monkey executes a specific manual action and when he observes another primate executing the same action. Since their discovery, several papers have investigated whether the human brain, specifically Broca's area, also has similar "mirror properties" and furthermore whether this remarkable example of evolutionary conservation might reflect a neural relationship between language and action systems in humans (for recent reviews, see Corballis, 2003; Nishitani, Schürmann, Amunts, & Hari, 2005).

The possible evolutionary link between action and language has fueled recent research on the neural processing

of speech and gesture in humans. Indeed, gestures are a special type of action—they naturally and ubiquitously accompany spoken language, and certain types of gesture never occur in isolation from speech (McNeill, 1992). Although separate lines of research in the domains of language and action suggest that the two systems share overlapping brain areas/functions (e.g., Fiebach & Schubotz, 2006; Pulvermuller, 2005; Rizzolatti & Craighero, 2004), there is a surprising paucity of research *directly* and *empirically* investigating language and action together. If researchers want to better understand this neural relationship in the human brain, a prime place to look is co-speech gesture.

Previous studies investigating the neural links between speech and gestures have focused on clinical populations, such as aphasics (see Rose, 2006, for a review) and split-brain patients (Kita & Lausberg, *in press*; Lausberg, Davis, & Rothenhäuser, 2000; McNeill & Pedelty, 1995). However, there has been conflicting evidence from these studies, possibly because of the variability in brain damage and case histories.

In this issue, we attempted to bring together the state of the art in research using fresh approaches and improved techniques to shed new light on the neural relationship between speech and co-speech gestures. The papers cover a wide range of methodologies and topics that vary from production to comprehension, in pre-verbal infants, children, and adults, and in typical as well as special populations (i.e., Down syndrome). Some of the studies in this special issue use observational techniques (Iverson, Hall, Nickel, & Wozniak, *this issue*; Kita, de Condappa, & Mohr, *this issue*; Stefanini, Caselli, & Volterra, *this issue*), whereas others use online cognitive neuroscience techniques such as event-related potentials (ERPs) (Kelly, Ward, Creigh, & Bartolotti, *this issue*; Sheehan, Namy, & Mills, *this issue*; Wu & Coulson, *this issue*) and functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) (Skipper, Goldin-Meadow, Nusbaum, & Small, *this issue*). All papers, however, address the issue of how speech and gestures are related in the brain during language processing and all explore what this can tell us about whether speech

and gesture constitute a unified and integrated system (Bernardis & Gentilucci, 2006; McNeill, 1992, 2005). Here we give brief summaries of each paper in the issue, raise methodological and conceptual issues about the current research, and make suggestions for further investigations.

The first paper, by Kita et al. (this issue) investigates whether the differential activation of the two cerebral hemispheres during different linguistic processes (i.e., in metaphor versus literal processing) influences the handedness of iconic gestures. They show that during metaphoric language—which, according to the authors, dominantly invokes processing in the right hemisphere—speakers are less likely to prefer their right hands over their left hands when they produce iconic gestures that imitate actions. This finding is in contrast to previous research that has found a right-hand preference when people produce co-speech gestures. The authors interpret this finding to mean that different types of gestures reflect different cognitive processes during language production that map onto to not just the traditional left hemisphere, but the right hemisphere as well.

Iverson et al. (this issue) investigate the precursors to gesture production by linking handedness of rhythmic motor activity to the onset of babbling in pre-verbal infants. In contrast to previous work, the authors did not uncover a specific relationship between right-handed (left hemispheric) motor activity and onset of babbling. They interpret this to mean that specific linguistic mechanisms may not drive babbling onset, but rather that babbling may reflect more general perceptual and motor developments. From there, the authors speculate that the coupling of rhythmic motor activity and babbling may serve as the foundation upon which speech and gesture systems develop during initial stages of language production. This suggests that the link between speech and gesture can be traced back to foundational neural systems that are generally specialized for action, rather than specifically specialized for language.

In the paper by Stefanini et al. (this issue) the authors investigate the relationship between iconic gestures and speech in children with Down syndrome. Although the children all had delays in language development, the authors found that the DS children compensated for their delays by using more iconic gestures than typically developing children. Thus, according to the authors, even though DS children might suffer from lexical production problems, their semantically appropriate gestures reveal a “deeper conceptual knowledge” of language than their verbal abilities would suggest. In this way, they claim that “semantic features of words are encoded in sensory motor form,” thus providing evidence for a link between language and action systems even in atypically developing populations.

The next set of papers use event-related potentials (ERPs) to investigate online processing of gesture and speech during language comprehension. These studies build on previous behavioral findings that have shown that

listeners/viewers pick up information from both speech and gesture during comprehension. The advantage of the ERP technique over previous behavioral methodologies is that it provides a window into how and when listeners/viewers comprehend gesture online and can reveal neural correlates of gesture and speech comprehension.

In the first paper, Kelly et al. (this issue) replicate previous research (Kelly, Kravitz, & Hopkins, 2004) demonstrating that there is a larger N400 effect—reflecting semantic integration processes (Kutas & Van Petten, 1994)—for speech that is preceded by incongruent versus congruent iconic gestures. Furthermore, they demonstrate that the size and scalp distribution of the N400 effect are modulated by whether the listener/viewer believed the speech and gesture belonged to the same or different person. That is, when participants heard an utterance produced by one person but actually watched another person perform the accompanying hand gestures, the size and scalp distribution of the N400 effect were smaller than when participant saw that the speech and gesture came from the same person. The authors conclude that the semantic integration of speech and gesture is not exclusively automatic and may be under some degree of neuro-cognitive control.

In the second ERP study, Wu and Coulson (this issue) investigate whether gestures that clarify the meaning of speech enable a listener to better conceptualize visuo-spatial aspects of a speaker’s meaning. Participants viewed gesture–speech utterances followed by pictures that were either related (or unrelated) to the gesture *and* speech or to just the speech alone. In the gesture and speech condition, there was a large N400 difference between the unrelated and related pictures, whereas for the speech condition, the N400 difference between the two types of pictures was less pronounced. The authors interpret this finding to mean that visuo-spatial aspects of gestures combine with speech to build stronger and more vivid expectations of the pictures than just speech alone.

In the next paper, Sheehan et al. (this issue) report the first developmental ERP study on gesture. Their study builds on previous behavioral research by exploring neural correlates of processing gesture and speech in 18- and 24-month-old infants (Namy & Waxman, 1998). The researchers presented infants with videos of either a gesture or a word, followed by a picture that conveyed the same or different information as the gesture or word. They found an increase in the N400 component when 18-month-old infants saw incongruent pictures presented after words alone *and* gestures alone. However, at 24 months this effect was found with words only. The authors interpret these findings as providing neurological evidence for a developmental change in semantic processing of gestures compared to speech.

To complement the ERP research investigating the timing of gesture–speech processing, we have one paper that uses functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) to explore neural networks involved in gesture and speech

comprehension. This contribution by Skipper et al. (this issue) is important because although we know that language comprehension activates specific neural structures (e.g., Broca's area), it is not clear how these structures process gestures that accompany spoken language. In this study, participants watched videos of different stories composed of speech and gesture. The main finding was that when participants viewed stories in which speech was accompanied by congruent iconic gestures, Broca's area exerted the *least* influence on other brain areas compared to when stories were accompanied by speech-irrelevant self-grooming movements or no gestures at all. The authors claim that the information provided by co-speech gestures helped listeners disambiguate the accompanying speech, and this in turn off-loaded phonological demands and lexical selection/retrieval processes from Broca's area. This study is one of the first pieces of evidence showing that semantic processing of co-speech gestures modulates Broca's area (see also Willems, Özyürek, & Hagoort, in press).

We conclude with a paper by Willems and Hagoort (this issue) that reviews the current research on neural correlates of co-speech gesture comprehension (including very new work not covered in this issue) by situating it in the cognitive neuroscience literature on neural links between language and action in general. Specifically, they relate research on co-speech gesture to three separate but related bodies of work: motor bases for speech perception, cortical processing of action words/sentences, and brain mechanisms for sign language. Their review reveals that the neural relationship between speech and gesture may reflect a fundamental relationship between language and action more generally. Moreover, it shows, according to the authors, that the brain is highly flexible and “open-minded” in how it uses context to connect these different neurocognitive domains.

Overall, the papers in this special issue provide evidence that there are neural links between speech and co-speech gestures during production and comprehension across multiple ages and different populations. However, it is still an open theoretical question whether speech and gesture constitute a unified and integrated system (Bernardis & Gentilucci, 2006; McNeill, 1992, 2005) or are independent but highly interactive systems (e.g., de Ruiter, 1998). There are several avenues of research that could address this overarching theoretical issue, as well as other important questions in the study of gesture, brain, and language. One imperative goal is to discover creative ways to use brain imaging and electrophysiological techniques to better understand the role of co-speech gestures in language production. Another important goal is to determine whether the neural processing of co-speech gestures is unique compared to other actions, such as signs, pantomimes, and non-communicative goal-directed actions. Research should also extend what we know about how the brain processes iconic gestures to understanding other types of gesture, such as points, metaphoric, and beats. Finally, there are exciting

possibilities for comparative studies to explore the neural relationship between speech and gesture across ages, cultures, languages, clinical populations (e.g., autism), and linguistic competencies (e.g., multilingual processing).

Although these directions for future research make it clear that the field of gesture, language, and brain is young and has much to accomplish, we believe that the studies presented in this special issue represent some of the important first steps toward addressing these issues and ultimately understanding how gesture and speech are linked in the human brain.

### Acknowledgments

A. Özyürek was supported by Grant 051.02.040 from the Dutch Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) during the preparation of the special issue.

### References

- Bernardis, P., & Gentilucci, M. (2006). Speech and gesture share the same communication system. *Neuropsychologia*, *44*, 178–190.
- Corballis, M. C. (2003). From mouth to hand: Gesture, speech, and the evolution of right-handedness. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences*, *26*, 198–208.
- de Ruiter, J. P. (1998). *Gesture and speech production*. Doctoral dissertation, Radboud University, Nijmegen.
- di Pellegrino, G., Fadiga, L., Fogassi, L., Gallese, V., & Rizzolatti, G. (1992). Understanding motor events: A neurophysiological study. *Experimental Brain Research*, *91*(1), 176–180.
- Fiebach, C. J., & Schubotz, R. I. (2006). Dynamic anticipatory processing of hierarchical sequential events: A common role for Broca's area and ventral premotor cortex across domains? *Cortex*, *42*(4), 499–502.
- Gallese, V., Fadiga, L., Fogassi, L., & Rizzolatti, G. (1996). Action recognition in the premotor cortex. *Brain*, *119*, 593–609.
- Goldin-Meadow, S. (2003). *Hearing gesture: How our hands help us think*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Iverson, J. M., Hall, A. J., Nickel, L., & Wozniak, R. H. (this issue). The relationship between reduplicated babble onset and laterality biases in infant rhythmic arm movements. *Brain and Language*, doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2006.11.004.
- Kelly, S. D., Kravitz, C., & Hopkins, M. (2004). Neural correlates of bimodal speech and gesture comprehension. *Brain and Language*, *89*, 253–260.
- Kelly, S. D., Ward, S., Creigh, P., & Bartolotti, J. (this issue). An intentional stance modulates the integration of gesture and speech during comprehension. *Brain and Language*.
- Kendon, A. (2004). *Gesture*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kita, S., & Lausberg, H. (in press). Generation of co-speech gestures based on spatial imagery from the right hemisphere: Evidence from split-brain patients. *Cortex*.
- Kita, S., de Condappa, O., & Mohr, M. (this issue). Metaphor explanation attenuates the right-hand preference for depictive co-speech gestures that imitate actions. *Brain and Language*, doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2006.11.006.
- Kutas, M., & Van Petten, C. K. (1994). Psycholinguistics electrified: Event-related brain potential investigations. In M. A. Gernsbacher (Ed.), *Handbook of psycholinguistics* (pp. 83–143). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Lausberg, H., Davis, M., & Rothenhäuser, A. (2000). Hemispheric specialization in spontaneous gesticulation in a patient with callosal disconnection. *Neuropsychologia*, *38*, 1654–1663.
- McNeill, D. (1992). *Hand and mind*. University of Chicago Press.
- McNeill, D. (2005). *Gesture and thought*. University of Chicago Press.

- McNeill, D., & Pedelty, L. (1995). Right brain and gesture. In K. Emmorey & J. Reilly (Eds.), *Language, gesture, and space* (pp. 63–85). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Namy, L. L., & Waxman, S. R. (1998). Words and gestures: Infants' interpretations of different forms of symbolic reference. *Child Development*, 69, 295–308.
- Nishitani, N., Schürmann, M., Amunts, K., & Hari, R. (2005). Broca's region: From action to language. *Physiology*, 20, 60–69.
- Pulvermuller, F. (2005). Brain mechanisms linking language and action. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 6(7), 576–582.
- Rizzolatti, G., & Arbib, M. A. (1998). Language within our grasp. *TINS*, 21, 188–194.
- Rizzolatti, G., & Craighero, L. (2004). The mirror–neuron system. *Annual Review of Neuroscience*, 27, 169–192.
- Rose, M. L. (2006). The utility of hand and arm movements in the treatment of aphasia. *Advances in Speech-Language Pathology*, 8, 92–109.
- Sheehan, E., A., Namy, L. L., & Mills, D. L. (this issue). Developmental changes in neural activity to familiar words and gestures. *Brain and Language*, doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2006.11.008.
- Skipper, J. I., Goldin-Meadow, S., Nusbaum, H. C., & Small, S. L. (this issue). Speech-associated gestures, Broca's area, and the human mirror system. *Brain and Language*, doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2007.02.008.
- Stefanini, S., Caselli, M. C., & Volterra, V. (this issue). Spoken and gestural production in a naming task by young children with Down syndrome. *Brain and Language*, doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2007.01.005.
- Willems, R. W., & Hagoort, P. (this issue). Neural evidence for the interplay between language, gesture, and action: A review. *Brain and Language*, doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2007.03.004.
- Willems, R. M., Özyürek, A., & Hagoort, P. (in press). When language meets action: The neural integration of gesture and speech. *Cerebral Cortex*.
- Wu, Y. C., & Coulson, S. (this issue). How iconic gestures enhance communication: An ERP Study. *Brain and Language*, doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2006.12.003.

Aslı Özyürek

*Donders Center for Cognitive Neuroimaging, Radboud University, and Max Planck Institute for Psycholinguistics, Nijmegen, The Netherlands*  
*E-mail address: Asli.Ozyurek@mpi.nl*

Spencer D. Kelly

*Department of Psychology, Neuroscience Program, Colgate University, Hamilton, NY, USA*  
*E-mail address: skelly@mail.colgate.edu*